

A Christmas Story: "The Gift of Explainability" and a "Christmas Carol for TRR 318" by ChatGPT-4 and Josephine Fisher

In the snowy town of Innovatia, Christmas Eve was typically a time for joy and celebration. This year, however, a quiet urgency filled the grand hall of TRR 318, the Transregional Collaborative Research Centre dedicated to solving one of the modern world's greatest challenges: making Artificial Intelligence (AI) understandable and trustworthy.

Inside the hall, a diverse group of researchers worked late into the evening. The team, drawn from fields as varied as computer science, sociology, psychology, linguistics, philosophy, media studies, and economics, had come together to tackle the complexities of explainable AI (XAI).

For years, algorithms had become increasingly complex, powered by advances in machine learning that allowed them to make decisions about everything from healthcare to transportation. But the same systems that helped streamline decision-making often left their interlocutors—the human users—confused and sceptical. Without clear, contextual explanations, these technical artifacts felt more like black boxes than partners.

The Spirit of Inquiry

As snow fell outside, Dr. Clara Insight, the philosophical lead of TRR 318, stood at the centre of the room. She addressed the gathered team, her voice firm yet friendly.

“Our task isn't just about creating better explanations for AI,” she began. “It's about understanding what an explanation *is*. What makes it meaningful? What transforms it from a mere list of reasons into something that builds trust and fosters understanding?”

Dr. Max Codewright, a computer scientist, added, “And we can't forget the algorithm itself. Sure, we can monitor its performance and produce explanations, but the challenge is making those explanations dynamic and adaptive. They need to change based on the feedback of the people using them.”

Prof. Eloise Wordsmith, the team's linguist, nodded. “This is where multi-modal communication comes in. Explanations can't just be words on a screen. They might be visual diagrams, spoken instructions, or even interactive tools. Different people, different contexts, different needs. That's what we're aiming for.”

Dr. Simon Practico, a sociologist, chimed in, “And we have to remember that explanations are social acts. It's not just about what the AI says—it's about how the person, the interlocutor, responds. Are they asking questions? Are they satisfied? Are they even paying attention? Without monitoring that interaction, we risk creating explanations that miss the mark entirely.”

Dr. Hannah Mindful, a psychologist, leaned forward. “Don't forget emotions,” she said. “If the user feels frustrated or dismissed, even the clearest explanation will fail. We have to make explanations that take human into account and their emotions.”

From the back of the room, Dr. Mia Vision, a media scientist, raised a hand. “And let's not underestimate the role of artifacts. Whether it's a dashboard, a chatbot, or an augmented reality interface, the medium shapes how the explanation is received. Our job is to make those artifacts intuitive and engaging.”

A Christmas Knock

The lively discussion was interrupted by a gentle knock at the door. Standing outside was Lily, a young girl bundled in a red scarf, holding a broken toy robot.

“Excuse me I’m sorry to interrupt Ms. TRR 318,” she said, her voice soft but clear, “My robot stopped working. It keeps saying *Error 4381*. I don’t know what that means. Can you help me understand? I asked everybody in town but nobody cared about a girl and her robot”

The researchers exchanged knowing glances. Here was a real-world example of the challenge they were trying to solve. Others might fear the challenge and not have the interdisciplinary knowledge and power.

Dr. Insight knelt to Lily’s level. “We’ll help you, Lily,” she said kindly. “But first, I’m not Ms. TRR I’m just Clara. Tell us: what do you want to know about your robot?”

Lily thought for a moment. “I want to know why it stopped working, and what I can do to fix it. What do these numbers in the error log mean? Am I only allowed to play with the robot for 4381 minutes? So I guess I also want to know how it works—like, what’s inside it that makes it come to life.”

The Process of Explanation

The team sprang into action. Dr. Codewright analysed the robot’s code and algorithm, quickly identifying the issue: the robot’s sensor was misaligned, causing it to malfunction. But fixing the problem was only the beginning—the real challenge was explaining it .

Dr. Wordsmith began crafting a simple verbal explanation. “The sensor in your robot is like its eyes,” she told Lily. “Right now, its eyes aren’t pointing in the right direction, so it doesn’t know where to go to young Lilly.”

Lily nodded, but she still looked puzzled. Dr. Vision stepped in with a visual aid, sketching a diagram that showed how the robot’s sensor connected to its motors. “See here?” she said. “This is how the sensor helps the wheels move. If the sensor isn’t aligned, the wheels can’t turn properly.”

Lily’s face lit up with understanding. “Oh, so it’s like when I close one eye and can’t see straight?”

“Exactly!” Dr. Mindful said with a smile. “And it’s great that you asked that question. Your feedback helps us know if we’re explaining things in a way that makes sense to you.”

Meanwhile, Dr. Practico observed the interaction. “Notice how Lily’s questions are shaping the explanation,” he remarked. “This is the essence of co-construction: the explanation isn’t just something we deliver to her. It’s something we build together, based on her needs and her context.”

Dr. Insight added, “And let’s not forget the explanandum—the thing being explained. It’s not just the sensor malfunction; it’s also the robot’s overall design. Lily wants to know how it works as a whole, not just why it broke. The whole context matters to her.”

By the time the team finished, Lily not only understood why her robot had stopped but also felt confident enough to fix it herself. She watched in delight as her robot whirred back to life, moving smoothly across the floor.

The Gift of Understanding

As Lily was happily playing with her repaired robot and showing the researcher her new accomplishment. The researchers gathered by the fire, and took a moment reflecting on what they had managed.

“This evening, we saw the power of explanation in action,” Dr. Insight said. “It wasn’t just about diagnosing a problem. It was about engaging with Lily, adapting to her questions and comments. Empowering her to understand and enabling her to repair.”

“And that’s the future we’re building,” added Dr. Codewright. “AI systems that can explain themselves dynamically, using multi-modal communication and real-time feedback to create meaningful, context-sensitive interactions.”

Dr. Wordsmith smiled. “And AI systems that respect their interlocutors—not just treating people as passive recipients, but as active agents in the process of understanding. Taking their diverse verbal behaviour into account”

Outside, the bells of Innovatia chimed eight, marking the start of Christmas Eve. As the researchers stepped out into the snowy streets, they saw neighbours gathering, curious about the laughter and cheers coming from the research center TRR 318.

Dr. Insight addressed the crowd: “This Christmas, we’re working toward a world where technology isn’t a mystery but a partner. Through co-constructed explanations, adaptive algorithms, and intuitive artifacts, we can build a future where everyone understands—and shapes—the tools they use.”

The crowd erupted in applause, and a warm glow spread through the town. The gift of explainability wasn’t just for Lily; it was for everyone, everywhere.

And so, the researchers of TRR 318 carried their mission forward into the new year, guided by the belief that the best explanations—and the best decisions—come from co-construction.

The End.

Disclaimer

The characters depicted here are fictitious and any similarity to actual persons is purely coincidental. The story is for entertainment and may include scientific flaws.

A Christmas Carol for TRR 318: "Constructing Explainability" 🎄

Verse 1

Oh, in the age of AI bright,
Complexity shines through the night.
But questions linger, sharp and clear,
How can we trust what's engineered?
With minds from fields so wide and grand,
They work to help us understand.

Chorus

Oh, TRR, with wisdom gleamed,
Explainability, your noble theme.
Through co-construction, we shall see,
A future bright with clarity!

Verse 2

From algorithms that seem so cold,
To stories human hands unfold.
No longer answers left opaque,
But crafted paths we co-create.
Philosophers and coders share,
Psychologists and more prepare.

Chorus

Oh, TRR, with wisdom gleamed,
Explainability, your noble theme.
Through co-construction, we shall see,
A future bright with clarity!

Verse 3

Explaining's not a one-way street,
It's shared, where minds and contexts meet.
Social practices shape the frame,
And human voices join the game.
From theory, code, and practice true,
A digital world made fresh and new.

Chorus


Oh, TRR, with wisdom gleamed,
Explainability, your noble theme.
Through co-construction, we shall see,
A future bright with clarity!

Bridge

Across the fields of thought we roam,
Through science halls to every home.
A gift of trust, a shared delight,
Constructing truth in winter's light.

Final Chorus

Oh, TRR, with wisdom bright,
You guide us through this starry night.
Together building, we decree,
A world of shared explainability!

 **Merry Christmas to TRR 318 and all your researchers!** 